



Exercise Set 6

Due Wednesday, June 12th, 11:45

Special Topics in Algorithmic Game Theory (MA5226)

Yiannis Giannakopoulos | Diogo Poças | Alexandros Tsigonias-Dimitriadis

Hand in your answers, either in person or by e-mail to diogo.pocas@tum.de or alexandros.tsigonias@tum.de. **No late submissions accepted.**

Exercise 6.1 (*Best-response dynamics cycles forever*, Exercise 16.1 from [20LAGT])

Exhibit a game with a pure Nash equilibrium and an initial outcome from which best-response dynamics cycles forever.

Exercise 6.2 (*Generalized ordinal potential games*, related to Exercises 16.3 and 16.4 from [20LAGT])

A *generalized ordinal potential game* is a cost-minimization game for which there exists a *generalized ordinal potential function*, i.e. a function Ψ such that

for any outcome \mathbf{s} , agent i , and deviation s'_i : if $C_i(s'_i, \mathbf{s}_{-i}) < C_i(\mathbf{s})$ then $\Psi(s'_i, \mathbf{s}_{-i}) < \Psi(\mathbf{s})$.

- Extend Proposition 16.1 from [20LAGT] to generalized ordinal potential games. In other words, prove that in generalized ordinal potential games, from an arbitrary initial outcome, best-response dynamics converges to a PNE.
- Prove that if best-response dynamics always converges to a PNE (for every choice of initial outcome and beneficial unilateral deviation at each iteration), then the game admits a generalized ordinal potential function.

Exercise 6.3 (*Equilibrium properties of outcome distribution in no-regret dynamics*, Exercise 17.4 from [20LAGT])

Proposition 17.9 from [20LAGT] proves that the time-averaged joint distribution $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma^t$ generated by no-regret dynamics is an approximate coarse correlated equilibrium, but it says nothing about the outcome distribution σ^t in a given iteration t . Prove that such a distribution σ^t is an approximate coarse correlated equilibrium if and only if it is an approximate (mixed) Nash equilibrium (with the same additive error term).

Exercise 6.4 (*Best-response dynamics for scheduling games*, Problem 16.1 from [20LAGT])

Recall the class of cost-minimization games introduced in Exercises 4.6 and 5.6 from previous weeks (also Problems 12.3 and 13.1 in [20LAGT]), where each agent $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ has a positive weight w_i and chooses one of m identical machines to minimize her load. Consider the following restriction of best-response dynamics:

Maximum-Weight Best-Response Dynamics

While the current outcome \mathbf{s} is not a pure Nash equilibrium:

among all agents with a beneficial deviation, let i denote an agent with the largest weight w_i and s'_i a best response to \mathbf{s}_{-i}

update the outcome to (s'_i, \mathbf{s}_{-i})

Prove that maximum-weight best-response dynamics converges to a pure Nash equilibrium after at most k iterations.

Exercise 6.5 (*Lower bound on regret*, related to Problem 17.1 from [20LAGT])

Consider an online decision-making problem with $n = 2$ actions. Prove that the worst-case expected regret of an online decision-making algorithm cannot vanish faster than b/\sqrt{T} , where $b > 0$ is some constant independent of T .

Exercise 6.6 (*Online decision-making under expert advice*, Problem 17.2 from [20LAGT])

This problem considers a variant of the online decision-making problem. There are n “experts”, where n is a power of 2:

Combining Expert Advice

At each time step $T = 1, 2, \dots, T$:

1. each expert offers a prediction of the realization of a binary event (e.g., whether a stock will go up or down)
2. a decision maker picks a probability distribution p^t over the possible realizations 0 and 1 of the event
3. the actual realization $r^t \in \{0, 1\}$ of the event is revealed
4. a 0 or 1 is chosen according to the distribution p^t , and a *mistake* occurs whenever it is different from r^t .

You are promised that there is at least one omniscient expert who makes a correct prediction at every time step.

- (a) A deterministic algorithm always assigns all of the probability mass in p^t to either 0 or 1. Prove that the minimum worst-case number of mistakes that a deterministic algorithm can make is precisely $\log_2 n$.
- (b) Prove that for every randomized algorithm, there is a sequence of expert predictions and event realizations such that the expected number of mistakes made by the algorithm is at least $\frac{1}{2} \log_2 n$.
- (c) Prove that there is a randomized algorithm such that, for every sequence of expert predictions and event realizations, the expected number of mistakes is at most $b \cdot \log_2 n$, where $b < 1$ is a constant independent of n . How small can you take b ?

This problem set will be discussed in the tutorials on June 14th/19th, 2019.